



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box. 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/797,995	03/11/2004	Wah Kan Cheung	20278.0 Cheung	5166
1342	7590 11/22/2005		EXAMINER	
PHILLIPS I			SWINEHART	r, edwin L
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP 3400 HSBC CENTER			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	NY 14203-3509	3617		
			DATE MAILED, 11/22/2004	

DATE MAILED: 11/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/797,995	CHEUNG, WAH KAN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ed Swinehart	3617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Oc	<u>ctober 2005</u> .					
·- ·						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	· —					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-14</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-14</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ou.				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/797,995 Page 2

Art Unit: 3617

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/28/2005 has been entered.

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The invention as now claimed fails to find support in the disclosure as originally filed. Specifically, there is no basis to claim a core constructed of multiple core layers having different densities. The specification sets forth usage of but a single core layer 16 or 43. The claiming of core density between about 4 and 10 lb/ft<sup>3</sup> finds no support in the specification as originally filed as well.

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/797,995

Art Unit: 3617

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

5. Claims 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bambara et al. in view of Lauer et al.

Bambara shows a foam structure comprising core (4), intermediate layer (24), and first layer (22). The thickness of each layer is shown in figure 3. The foam structure can be a bodyboard. The core and layers are foams. The foams can be any of the polymer and copolymer blends listed in line 63 of column 6 through line 18 of column 7. Bambara discloses ethylene-alpha olefin copolymer, copolymer of ethylene with octene, polyethylene, polypropylene, ethylene vinyl acetate, thermal bonding. Bambara discloses that each layer has a density greater than the core density. Bambara does not disclose that the intermediate layer is metallocene based.

Lauer teaches the equivalency of metallocene based and non- metallocene based polyolefins.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to employ/substitute known equivalent materials, one for the other, and substitute a metallocene-based polyolefin for a non- metallocene polyolefin in the board of Bambara as taught by Lauer.

Re the claimed densities, "about" broadens such ranges to the extent that the densities of the layers are met by Bambara. Furthermore, in this instance, such densities fall within the realm of obvious choice of design, such being well within the level of skill of the ordinary routineer working in the art at the time of the invention,

Application/Control Number: 10/797,995 Page 4

Art Unit: 3617

providing no unexpected results. It should be noted in support of this position, no real criticality for such values has been set forth by Applicant.

6. Applicant's arguments filed 10/28/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's arguments have been addressed within the body of the rejection.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ed Swinehart whose telephone number is 571-272-6688. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday 6:30 am to 2:00 pm..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Samuel Morano can be reached on 571-272-6684. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ed Swinehart Primary Examiner Art Unit 3617